

Finite element formulation of transient heat transfer

For a material where the specific heat c and density ρ are constants the general form for the heat transfer equation reads

$$c\rho\dot{T} + \text{div}(\mathbf{q}) - Q = 0 \quad (1)$$

Multiplication by an arbitrary (time-independent) weight function and making use of the Green-Gauss theorem results in

$$\int_S v\mathbf{q}^T \mathbf{n} dS - \int_V (\nabla v)^T \mathbf{q} dV - \int_V vQ dV + \int_V vc\rho\dot{T} dV = 0 \quad (2)$$

The weight function is chosen as $v(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{c}$, i.e. the Galerkin approximation is adopted. Insertion of the weight function, v , in to (2) results in

$$\mathbf{c}^T \left\{ \int_S \mathbf{N}^T \mathbf{q}^T \mathbf{n} dS - \int_V \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{q} dV - \int_V \mathbf{N}^T Q dV + \int_V \mathbf{N}^T c\rho\dot{T} dV \right\} = 0 \quad (3)$$

Since \mathbf{c} can be chosen arbitrarily we obtain

$$\int_S \mathbf{N}^T \mathbf{q}^T \mathbf{n} dS - \int_V \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{q} dV - \int_V \mathbf{N}^T Q dV + \int_V \mathbf{N}^T c\rho\dot{T} dV = 0 \quad (4)$$

The spatial interpolation is chosen as

$$T = \mathbf{N}\mathbf{a} \quad (5)$$

It should be noted that the spatial dependence is captured in $\mathbf{N} = \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{x})$ whereas the time dependence is included in the nodal temperatures, i.e. $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}(t)$. Insertion of (5) into (4) results in

$$\int_S \mathbf{N}^T q_n dS + \int_V \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{D}\mathbf{B} dV \mathbf{a} - \int_V \mathbf{N}^T Q dV + \int_V \mathbf{N}^T c\rho \mathbf{N} dV \dot{\mathbf{a}} = 0 \quad (6)$$

where use were made of the Fourier law, i.e. $\mathbf{q} = -\mathbf{D}\nabla T$. Rearranging (6) results in

$$\mathbf{C}\dot{\mathbf{a}} + \mathbf{K}\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{f}_b + \mathbf{f}_l \quad (7)$$

where

$$\mathbf{C} = \int_V \mathbf{N}^T c\rho \mathbf{N} dV, \quad \mathbf{K} = \int_V \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{D}\mathbf{B} dV, \quad \mathbf{f}_l = \int_V \mathbf{N}^T Q dV, \quad \mathbf{f}_b = - \int_S \mathbf{N}^T q_n dS \quad (8)$$

Time integration procedures for transient heat transfer

To derive a relatively simple family of time integration schemes, let us start to make an approximation for the time derivative in (7). The most simple way to estimate the derivative is to make use of two time steps that are close in time, i.e.

$$\dot{\mathbf{a}} = \frac{\mathbf{a}(t_{n+1}) - \mathbf{a}(t_n)}{t_{n+1} - t_n} \quad (9)$$

where t_{n+1} and t_n represent the time at two instants. Next, we will make use of the following approximations for \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{f}

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a} &= \theta \mathbf{a}_{n+1} + (1 - \theta) \mathbf{a}_n, \\ \mathbf{f} &= \theta \mathbf{f}_{n+1} + (1 - \theta) \mathbf{f}_n, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where the notation $[\cdot]_{n+1} = [\cdot](t_{n+1})$ were for simplicity introduced. Note that θ is a numerical parameter that has to be chosen. Insertion of (9) and (10) into (7) result in

$$\mathbf{C} \frac{\mathbf{a}(t_{n+1}) - \mathbf{a}(t_n)}{t_{n+1} - t_n} + \mathbf{K}(\theta \mathbf{a}_{n+1} + (1 - \theta) \mathbf{a}_n) = \theta \mathbf{f}_{n+1} + (1 - \theta) \mathbf{f}_n \quad (11)$$

No definite answer regarding the choice of θ can be made, but the most common schemes can be summarized in

- $\theta = 1$. This is known as an implicit scheme and is often chosen due to its stability properties
- $\theta = 1/2$. Midpoint rule or Crank-Nicholson scheme. Often used due to its accuracy properties.
- $\theta = 0$. Forward scheme or explicit scheme. If additional assumption regarding the \mathbf{C} matrix is made, this method enables a very efficient scheme on large scale clusters to be obtained.