

# Structural optimization, project 2019: Q & A

Division of Solid Mechanics, Lund University

March 7, 2019

- **Q: I don't know where to start. What CALFEM functions should be used?**  
A: The structure of your Matlab program should be similar to the one used for solving the size optimization computer exercise. You should solve the optimization problems using four-node isoparametric elements. For instance, use `plani4e` to calculate the element stiffness matrix.
- **Q: How can I debug in the Matlab environment?**  
A: One option is to type "keyboard" at the place in the code where you want to debug. To continue the debugging type "dbcont" and to quit type "dbquit".
- **Q: I want to know what happens in a CALFEM routine. How can I do that?**  
A: Open the m-file or enter "type filename" in the Matlab command window.
- **Q: How many Gauss points/what integration rule should I use?**  
A:  $2 \times 2$  Gauss points is OK.
- **Q: How can I plot the optimized design?**  
A: You can use the Matlab function `fill`. For instance, the command `fill(Ex',Ey',[RHO RHO RHO]')` will plot the topology described by the design variables RHO.
- **Q: What value for  $\alpha$  in the OC approximation should I use?**  
A: Any value  $\alpha \geq 1$ . Try different values.
- **Q: What is  $a$  in the expression for  $b_e^k$  on page 184 in the course book?**  
A: This is a typo. In Equation 9.4,  $a$  should be replaced by  $\alpha$ .
- **Q: What value for the penalization factor  $q$  in the SIMP formulation should I use?**  
A: Try different values.  $q > 1$ .
- **Q: How do I check that my sensitivities are correct?**  
A: Compare them to numerical sensitivities. See pages 97-98 in the course book. See the last page of this document.
- **Q: My program is very slow, especially for running task c). Have I done something wrong?**  
A: Not necessarily. Depending on how many elements you use and if you recalculate the constant part of the stiffness matrix or not, the computational time required can vary a lot. For task c, the program is generally slower because the MMA solver needs to handle two constraints. Start with a coarse mesh and then try with a finer one.
- **Q: When solving  $Ku = F$ , Matlab warns me for the stiffness matrix being close to singular. What is wrong?**  
A: Check the value of  $\rho_{min}$ , i.e. the minimum value of the design variable, together with your penalization factor. Hint: what is  $\rho_{min}^q$  if  $\rho_{min}$  is too small and e.g.  $q = 3$ ?
- **Q: I get the error message "Error using fzero (line 274) The function values at the interval endpoints must differ in sign.", what should I do?**  
A: Start with an initial design that satisfies or is very close to satisfying the volume constraint.

- **Q: How are  $\rho$ ,  $x$  and the thickness  $t$  related?**

A: As described in the course book in Chapter 9,  $\rho$  is a continuous “thickness function” and  $\mathbf{x} = [x_1 \ x_2 \ \dots \ x_n]^T$  is a discrete design variable vector that represent the thickness for each finite element. The minimum value of each  $x_e$ , where  $e$  is the element number, is  $\underline{\rho}$  and the maximum value is  $\bar{\rho} = t$ .

- **Q: What is RHO?**

A: In the SIMP-method, one uses variables that represent the design with upper limit equal to 1. This makes it convenient to use a dimensionless design variable  $\rho_e$  instead of  $x_e$ , with  $0 < \underline{\rho} \leq \rho_e \leq 1$ . In the answer to Question 5 in this document, RHO is this design variable vector  $\boldsymbol{\rho} = [\rho_1 \ \rho_2 \ \dots \ \rho_n]^T$ . Using  $\boldsymbol{\rho}$  as design variable vector, the volume of the structure in task a) is  $\sum_{e=1}^n \rho_e a_e t$ , where  $a_e$  is the area of element  $e$  and  $n$  is the number of elements.

- **Q: Some Matlab-functions on the course homepage does not seem to work. Why?**

A: You’ve probably accessed some functions related to previous years project. The only two Matlab-functions that should be downloaded from the course homepage and used in this project are `genMesh.m` and `mma_solver.p`.

- **Q: What values of the MMA parameters  $s_{init}$ ,  $s_{slower}$  and  $s_{faster}$  should be used?**

A: You are recommended to use  $s_{init} = 0.5$ ,  $s_{slower} = 0.7$  and  $s_{faster} = 1.2$ .

### Comparing numerical and analytical sensitivities

To calculate the numerical sensitivity of the function  $g_i$  with respect to design variable  $x_e$ , use forward or central differences. As a demonstration, here is some pseudo-code to calculate the numerical sensitivity of element number 89 by forward difference:

```
% 1) Use the initial guess
RHO = 0.4*ones(nelm,1); % This is the design variable vector
RHO(89) = RHO(89) + h    % Add a small perturbation, h.

% Now run you program once (no optimization),
...
% Evaluate the function g_i and save that value
gisave1 = ...

% 2) Now, start over and run your program again with the initial guess
RHO = 0.4*ones(nelm,1);
...
% Evaluate the function g_i again and save that value
gisave2 = ...
...
% Also: calculate the the analytical sensitivity
analyticSens = dgidx(89)
...

% 3) Compute numerical sensitivity:
numSens = (gisave1 - gisave2)/h

% 4) Check if dgidx is accurate by comparing the fraction
shouldBeCloseToOne = numSens/analyticSens
```

In the pseudo-code above, `dgidx` is the vector containing the analytical sensitivity  $\frac{\partial g_i}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$  that you want to verify is correct. Note that you can use other initial guesses than `RHO = 0.4*ones(nelm,1)` and check other sensitivities than for element number 89 aswell.

Last updated: March 7, 2019, NI.