

# Structural optimization: Solutions to mid-term exam 190220

Division of Solid Mechanics, Lund University

## Problem 1

a) See pages 148-149 in the course literature. The length between two points  $(x_1, y_1)$  and  $(x_2, y_2)$  is

$$\text{Length} = J(y) = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} (1 + y'(x))^{1/2} dx.$$

b) See Exercise 8.2. Calculate  $J'(y; \varphi) = 0$  and solve to get  $y(x)$  with the conditions  $y(x_1) = y_1$ ,  $y(x_2) = y_2$ ,  $\varphi(x_1) = \varphi(x_2) = 0$ .

## Problem 2

a) The Hessian is not positive (semi)definite and therefore the function is not convex.

b) The function is not twice continuously differentiable. Use the definition of a convex function on page 37 together with the triangle inequality to prove that the function is in fact convex.

c) See pages 60-61. The gradient is  $\nabla f(1, 2) = (0, -5)^T$ , and therefore we linearize in the intervening variables  $y_1 = 1/x_1$  and  $y_2 = 1/x_2$ . The Hessian of the CONLIN approximation of  $f$  is positive definite since the CONLIN approximation assumes that all design variables are strictly positive.

## Problem 3

The problem is non-convex since the determinant of the Hessian of the objective function is negative, i.e.  $\det(\mathbf{H}) < 0$ . To solve the optimization problem, we evaluate all KKT points and investigate which of those minimize the objective function.

The Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L}(x_1, x_2, \lambda) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 3x_1x_2 + \lambda(x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 6).$$

The KKT conditions are

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_1} = 2x_1 - 3x_2 + \lambda 2x_1 = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_2} = 2x_2 - 3x_1 + \lambda 2x_2 = 0, \quad (2)$$

$$\lambda(x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 6) = 0, \quad (3)$$

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 6 \leq 0, \quad (4)$$

$$\lambda \geq 0. \quad (5)$$

The limits  $x_i \rightarrow \pm\infty$  are obviously not feasible since they violate the constraint in the fourth equation above.

Equations (1) and (2) give

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \frac{3}{2(1+\lambda)}x_2, \\ 2x_2\left(1+\lambda - \frac{9}{4(1+\lambda)}\right) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This gives that either  $x_2 = 0$  or  $\left(1+\lambda - \frac{9}{4(1+\lambda)}\right) = 0$ . Solving the last expression gives two different  $\lambda$ , but only one of them,  $\lambda = 1/2$ , satisfy the KKT conditions.

The three KKT points are  $(x_1, x_2; \lambda) = (0, 0, 0)$  and  $(x_1, x_2; \lambda) = (\pm\sqrt{3}, \pm\sqrt{3}, 1/2)$ . The last two points both solve the optimization problem.

#### Problem 4

a) From the graph we have  $\frac{\partial g_0(3)}{\partial x} \approx 1.25 > 0$ , i.e.  $g_0$  should be linearized in the variable  $y = \frac{1}{U-x}$  where  $U$  should satisfy  $x^k = 3 < U$ . For instance, choosing  $U = 4$  gives the MMA approximation  $g_0^{M,k}(x^k = 3) = 1.25\left(1 + \frac{1}{4-x}\right)$ . (Indicate in the graph that  $g_0 \rightarrow \infty$  as  $x$  approaches the asymptote  $U = 4$ ).

b) From the graph we have  $\frac{\partial g_0(5)}{\partial x} \approx -1.25 < 0$ , i.e.  $g_0$  should be linearized in the variable  $y = \frac{1}{x}$ . The CONLIN approximation is  $g^{C,k}(x^k = 5) = g_0(x^k = 5) + \frac{\partial g_0(5)}{\partial x} \frac{5(x-5)}{x}$ .

#### Problem 5

$$\begin{bmatrix} F_{2x} \\ F_{4x} \\ F_{4y} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \\ s_3 \end{bmatrix} \iff \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{s}.$$

$\mathbf{D}$  is a diagonal matrix, i.e.

$$\mathbf{D} = \frac{E}{L} \text{diag}(A_1, A_2, A_3).$$

The stiffness matrix is also a diagonal matrix

$$\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{D} \mathbf{B} = \frac{E}{l} \text{diag}(A_2, A_3, A_1), \quad (6)$$

hence the inverse of  $\mathbf{K}$  is

$$\mathbf{K}^{-1} = \frac{l}{E} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{A_2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{A_3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{A_1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The displacements are given by  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{K}^{-1}\mathbf{F}$ . The optimization problem is stated as

$$\mathcal{P} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \min_{A_1, A_2, A_3} g_0 = \frac{1}{A_1} + \frac{1}{A_2} + \frac{1}{A_3}, \\ \text{s.t.} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} g_1 = A_1 + A_2 + A_3 - V_0/(\rho L) \leq 0, \\ A_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right.$$

The Hessian of  $g_0$  is positive definite for  $A_i \geq 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$  and  $g_1$  is a linear, thus a convex function. The problem is convex. The Lagrangian function is

$$\mathcal{L}(A_1, A_2, A_3, \lambda) = \frac{1}{A_1} + \frac{1}{A_2} + \frac{1}{A_3} + \lambda(A_1 + A_2 + A_3 - V_0/(\rho L)).$$

The dual problem is given by

$$\mathcal{D} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \max_{\lambda} \varphi(\lambda) = 6\sqrt{\lambda} - \lambda V_0/(\rho L), \\ \text{s.t.} \quad \lambda \geq 0, \end{array} \right.$$

which is solved for  $\sqrt{\lambda^*} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{\rho L}{V_0}$ . The optimal cross-sectional areas are  $A_i^* = \frac{1}{3} \frac{V_0}{\rho L}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .